

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

RICHARD QUINT

v.

THERESA LANTZ, et al.

:
:
:
:
:
:

PRISONER
Case No. 3:05cv937 (PCD)(JGM)

RULING AND ORDER

Plaintiff has filed a motion to compel defendants to produce all documents requested in his January 12, 2006 request for production. Defendants oppose the motion on the grounds that counsel first received the request for production as an exhibit attached to the motion to compel and plaintiff did not attempt to resolve this matter before seeking court intervention.

Rule 37, D. Conn. L. Civ. R., provides in relevant part:

No motion pursuant to Rules 26 through 37, Fed. R. Civ. P., shall be filed unless counsel making the motion has conferred with opposing counsel and discussed the discovery issues between them in detail in a good faith effort to eliminate or reduce the area of controversy, and to arrive at a mutually satisfactory resolution.

The purpose of this rule is to encourage the parties to make a good faith effort to resolve the dispute without the intervention of the court. See Getschmann v. James River Paper Co., Inc., Civil 5:92cv163 (WWE), slip op. at 2 (D. Conn. January 14, 1993) (court should not “become unnecessarily involved in disputes that can and should be

