



for Relief [Doc. #93] is DENIED.

In its motion, the Housing Authority argues that the current discovery order is overly broad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Defendant contends that there are more than 1,500 files that fall into the category of Section 8 tenants residing in a 3 or 4 bedroom unit, but that only 300 of these files deal with family members of tenants who have a disability. Plaintiffs' concerns remain the same and were considered by the Court in making its February 21, 2008 discovery ruling. Those concerns are, (a) files are sometimes mis-identified, failing to note disability status; and (b) the desire to review both disability and non-disability marked files in order to develop the record on whether the discrimination experienced by the Gaithers resulted from a pattern of intentional discrimination throughout the Housing Authority.

The Court's Order does not require the defendants to remove the files from the Housing Authority and disrupt operations. Plaintiff states that she conducted inspections of about 70 reasonable accommodation files, which took sixteen hours and did not require any oversight by opposing counsel or the Housing Authority staff. Moreover, plaintiffs have assured the defendants that the file inspections will be carried out unobtrusively. If counsel is reviewing a file needed by a staff

---

The Court granted in part and denied in part Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel [Doc. #32].

