## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for t	he control of the con
District of C	onnecticut
	2012 JUL 9 PM 2 57
United States of America v.	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Richard Castro	Case No: 3:09cr269(EBB)VEN, CT.
)	USM No:
Date of Original Judgment: Nov. 23, 2010 )	OSIVI NO.
Date of Previous Amended Judgment:	
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)	Defendant's Attorney
ORDER REGARDING MOTION	FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PURSUANT TO 18	U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
Upon motion of $X$ the defendant $\square$ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons $\square$ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,	
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:	
•	reviously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in as is reduced to
(Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)	
Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment of the state of the st	dated Nov. 23, 2010 shall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	lated Nov. 23, 2010 shall remain in effect.
	lated Nov. 23, 2010 shall remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	dated Nov. 23, 2010 shall remain in effect.  Judge's signature  ELLEN BREE BURNS, SR. U.S.D.J.