

ANDREWS V. ASTRUE, 10 CV 490 (CSH)

3/29/11 – ELECTRONIC ENDORSEMENT GRANTING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR COSTS AND FEES (Dkt. #22), in large part, absent a timely objection having been filed by defendant. Plaintiff’s counsel is not permitted to recover 1.3 hours (.2 hours on 3/30/10, .1 hours on 4/1/10, .4 hours on 4/5/10, .2 hours on 4/8/10, .2 hours on 4/8/10, and .4 hours on 4/26/10) as the law is well-established in this district that “[h]ours spent performing clerical tasks such as filing the complaint and receiving return of service, are not compensable under the EAJA.” Lee v. Astrue, 09 CV 1575 (CSH)(JGM)(D. Conn. Feb. 28, 2011), , slip op. at 8 (citations omitted); Hosking v. Astrue, No. 3:10 CV 64 (MRK)(WIG), 2010 WL 4683917, at \*2 (citations omitted); Cobb v. Astrue, No. 3:08 CV 1130 (MRK)(WIG), 2009 WL 2940205, at \*2 (D. Conn. Sept. 2, 2009). Thus, subtracting the 1.3 hours from the requested 40.1 hours leaves 38.8 hours, at \$180/hour, for a total of \$6,984. Therefore, plaintiff’s Motion for Costs and Fees (Dkt. #22) is **granted in the amount of \$6,984.**