

noncompliance could result in dismissal.” Harvey v. Bennett, No. 98-CV-7814 (CPS), 2009 WL 2568551, at *3 (E.D.N.Y. Aug. 19, 2009)(citations omitted); see also Burke v. Miron, No. 3:07 CV 1181 (RNC), 2009 WL 952097, at *1-2 (D. Conn. Feb. 20, 2009)(with respect to non-compliance with discovery orders, “the sanction of dismissal with prejudice may be imposed against a pro se party only if the court warned the pro se party that noncompliance with court orders could result in dismissal of the action with prejudice.”)(citations omitted).

THEREFORE, THE PRO SE PLAINTIFF IS HEREBY WARNED THAT IF SHE FAILS TO FILE HER MOTION TO REVERSE OR REMAND DECISION OF THE COMMISSIONER, WITH BRIEF IN SUPPORT, ON OR BEFORE NOVEMBER 18, 2011, IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER AND THE PREVIOUS SCHEDULING ORDER, THIS FAILURE WILL LEAD TO THE ENTRY OF SANCTIONS, INCLUDING DISMISSAL OF THIS LAWSUIT.

Dated at New Haven, Connecticut, this 26th day of October, 2011.

/s/ Joan G. Margolis, USMJ
Joan Glazer Margolis
United States Magistrate Judge