## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT ( )

2012 MM - 9 = 3: 02

CRAIG HINES

PRISONER

v.

Case No. 3:12cv613(AWT)

:

WARDEN SEMPLE, et al.

## RULING ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

The petitioner states that he is a pretrial detainee in state custody. He filed this action pro se for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 seeking medical care.

A 2241 petition may be used by a federal prisoner to seek medical care. See Ilina v. Zickefoose, 591 F. Supp. 2d 145, 149-50 (D. Conn. 2008). That is not true, however, for a state prisoner. Claims regarding denial of medical care or challenging conditions of confinement by state prisoners must be pursued in a civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. See Farrell v. Franklin, 210 Fed. Appx. 807, 808 (10th Cir. 2006) (claims by state prisoner regarding inadequate medical care "should be raised in a civil-rights action, not an application for habeas relief"); Murnahan v. McKune, No. 08-3136, 2008 WL 2559301, at \*1 (D. Kan. June 24, 2008) (holding that a state prisoner's claims regarding medical care may not be pursued in a federal habeas corpus action). Because the plaintiff is in state custody, his claim is not cognizable in a section 2241 action.

#1] is hereby DISMISSED without prejudice. See Martin v.

Overton, 391 F.3d 710, 714 (6th Cir. 2004) (section 2241 petition seeking medical care properly dismissed without prejudice to raising claims in a civil rights action); see also Stewart v.

Tristan, No. C 02-623 TEH(PR), 2002 WL 356649 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 5, 2002) (dismissing federal habeas action without prejudice to filing a civil rights complaint instead of recharacterizing the petition as a civil rights complaint). The petitioner may file a civil rights action in this court or a petition for writ of habeas corpus in state court to obtain the medical care he seeks.

It is so ordered.

Dated this 8th day of May 2012, at Hartford, Connecticut.

Alvin W. Thompson United States District Judge