

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

RANDY WILLIAM NORMAN,

*Plaintiff,*

*v.*

DANIEL C. ETSY, *et al.*,

*Defendants.*

Civil No. 3:13cv1842 (JBA)

January 28, 2014

**RULING DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR  
A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER**

On January 23, 2014, pro se Plaintiff Randy William Norman filed [Doc. # 15] an “affidavit,” seeking a “restraining order and preliminary injunction to stop Law day to my entire Affidavit have to be answered by the plaintiff [sic].” For the reasons that follow, Plaintiff’s motion for a temporary restraining order is denied.

Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint [Doc. # 12] appears to state claims against various defendants, including a state court judge, for fraud and violations of various statutory and constitutional rights arising from a state court proceeding in which Plaintiff and the condominium association of which he was the president were sued. (See Sept. 30, 2013 Hr’g Tr., *The Metropolitan District v. Huntington Manor Condo. Assoc., Inc., et al.* HHD-CV13-6044586-S, Ex. D to Compl. [Doc. # 12-2] at 1; see also Ruling on Pl.’s Mot. for Leave to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* [Doc. # 11] at 3.)<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> The publicly available Superior Court docket does not reveal further details about this lawsuit, but on October 22, 2013, a temporary receiver was appointed and judgment without trial was entered against an unspecified party. In his “Affidavit,” Plaintiff also seeks a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction “requiring Defendant the Federal Trade Commission to enforce the Commission’s consent order in . . . the Matter.” (Pl.’s Aff. at 1.) The FTC does not appear to be a party to the state court

