

Rosenstock, 228 F.3d 40, 53–54 (2d Cir. 2000). Tyson states only that he “realized that there are two people in my complaint that I never mention.” (Doc. No. 52) at 1. Without a proposed amended complaint setting forth his claims, the court cannot determine whether justice requires permitting a Third Amended Complaint in this case.

Tyson’s Motion (**Doc. No. 52**) is **DENIED** without prejudice to refileing. Any refiled motion shall include as an exhibit a Proposed Third Amended Complaint clearly setting forth all claims that Tyson intends to pursue in this case and including all defendants in the case caption, and must be filed no later than 30 days from the date of this Order.

SO ORDERED.

Dated this 21st day of June 2018 at New Haven, Connecticut.

/s/ Janet C. Hall
Janet C. Hall
United States District Judge